



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,683	01/08/2004	Hirofumi Muratani	247273US2SRD DIV	5927
22850 7590 08/20/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER GYORFI, THOMAS A				
ART UNIT 2135		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 08/20/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdoCKET@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/752,683

**Applicant(s)**

MURATANI, HIROFUMI

**Examiner**

Thomas Gyorfi

**Art Unit**

2135

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thomas Gyorfi.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Gellner (Applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 4-8, 14-19 and 23-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph issues, Examiner suggested a grammatical alternative to remedy the identified issues. Regarding the 35 USC 101 issues, Examiner observed that if the claims were amended to specify a device or computer-readable medium implementing the claimed unit(s), and if Applicant were to produce corresponding support from the instant specification, then the claims would be allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Thomas Gyorfi/

Examiner, Art Unit 2135

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.